## REFERENCE GRID FOR PROTOCOL REVIEW

TYPE OF PROTOCOL			SEPCOM REVIEW PROCESS				
Source of Funding	GHUCCTS Investigator -initiated?	Prior Level of Review	Scientific Review	Statistical Review	Patient Safety and Ethics Review	Nursing Review	Administr ative Review
KL-2 Scholars (GHUCCTS-funded)	Yes	No previous peer review	Rigorous (performed by KL-2 program sub- committee)	Rigorous	Rigorous	Yes (top priority)	Yes
Pilot studies (GHUCCTS-funded)	Yes	No previous peer review	Rigorous (performed by PCS sub-committee)	Rigorous	Rigorous	Yes (top priority)	Yes
Collaborative studies (GHUCCTS-funded)	Yes	No previous peer review	Rigorous (performed by PCS sub-committee)	Rigorous	Rigorous	Yes (top priority)	Yes
Investigator-initiated pilot (no other support)	Yes	No previous peer review	Rigorous	Rigorous	Rigorous	Yes	Yes
Federally funded (e.g. R01s with NIH funding)	Yes	Already Peer- reviewed	May be waived (assessed on a case by case basis)	May be waived (assessed on a case by case basis)	Yes	Yes	Yes
Foundation-funded	Yes	Already Peer- reviewed	May be waived (assessed on a case by case basis)	May be waived (assessed on a case by case basis)	Yes	Yes	Yes
Industry-funded	No	Reviewed by sponsor	Waived (already reviewed, limited possibility of protocol changes)	Waived	Yes	Yes	Yes
Multicenter Studies (e.g. cooperative group)	No	Reviewed by group or sponsor	Waived (already reviewed, limited possibility of protocol changes)	Waived	Yes	Yes	Yes